- 6. Scomber microlepidotus Rüppell Mackerel
- 7. Lates calcarifer (Bloch) ... Bhetki
- 8. Cynoglossus sp. ... Chepti

### REMARKS

In several locally available species slight variations in body proportions, number of fin-rays, scale counts, and coloration have been noticed, which along with their description, bionomics, and ecology are being published in the form of a separate handbook.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr. M. L. Bhatia, Professor and Head of the Department of Zoology, University of Delhi, and to the late Dr. S. L. Hora for their constant encouragement and guidance during the progress of this work. My sincere thanks are due to Mr. A. G. K. Menon of the Zoological Survey of India for checking up my identifications. My thanks are also due to my colleague Mr. H. L. Sarkar and to my friend Dr. B. G. Kapoor for giving me specimens of *Barilius vagra* and *Sisor rhabdophorus* from their collections.

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI, October 31, 1957.

N. N. MAJUMDAR

### REFERENCES

Berg, L. S. (1940): Classification of fishes both recent and fossil (English edition 1947) Michigan, U.S.A.

# 25. PARASITISM OF *OPHICEPHALUS GACHUA* HAMILTON BY THE COPEPOD *ARGULUS INDICUS* WEBER

Max Weber (1892) originally described the female of Argulus indicus Weber from the east coast of Java. The male of this species was later described by Wilson (1926, 1944) from Bangkok (Thailand).

The above species was reported from India for the first time by Ramkrishna (1952) who in his paper referred that the collection of the parasites was previously made by S. C. Bough from the skin of *Ophicephalus punctatus* Bloch from Champahati, a village 15 miles south of Sealdah Station, West Bengal.

On 7 April 1956, during the survey of the fish fauna of Gokalpur Lake, Jabalpur, M.P., 2 specimens of *Ophicephalus gachua* Hamilton were collected from a small piece of fresh water which later runs

to join the lake proper. Both the specimens of Ophicephalus gachua were heavily infested with Argulus all over the body on all sides and on the fins. The number of parasites collected from both the fishes was 26. The number of male specimens was 17 and the rest were females. On identification these parasites were found to be Argulus indicus Weber.

It would appear that Argulus indicus is not strictly host specific,

but is ectoparasitic on different species of Indian murrels.

The author's thanks are due to Dr. B. S. Chauhan of the Zoological Survey of India for the specific identification of the parasites and for his helpful suggestions.

DEPT. OF ZOOLOGY, MAHAKOSHAL MAHAVIDYALAYA, JABALPUR. M.P., June 20, 1957.

R. B. MALAVIYA

### REFERENCES

Wilson, C.B. (1926): Jour, Siam Soc. Ramakrishna, G. (1952): Rec, Ind. Nat. Hist. Suppl. 6: 361-3, Pl. 22. Mus. 49: 307. Weber, Max (1892): Zool. Frgeb. 2: 544, fig. 1. figs. 1-7. 

# 26. PELAGIC SWARMING OF POLYOPHTHALMUS (FAMILY OPHELIIDAE—POLYCHAETA)

(With one text-figure)

While making certain observations relating to the experimental kelong<sup>2</sup> fishing operations being conducted at this research station, I came across an interesting phenomenon which seems worthy of record. Surface collections made in the Gulf of Mannar (approximately at lat. 9° 16' N. and long. 79° 08' E.) during February and March 1958 with the help of a hand net after sunset under a 200 c.p. gas lamp suspended about half a metre above the water surface showed a varying number of small Opheliid worms on different nights. These

<sup>1</sup> Published with the permission of the Chief Research Officer, Central Marine

Fisheries Research Station, Mandapam Camp.

<sup>2</sup> The 'Kelong' used in this case consists of a kind of lift net in conjunction with a light as lure and operated at night from a raised platform on the sea. Further descriptions of this will appear elsewhere. The author wishes to record here his appreciation of the help rendered by Shri D. Edward Chellappa who is conducting the fishing operations and who was responsible for obtaining the night collections.